

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/733,428	<b>I</b>	12/11/2003	Andreas Simmel	10191/3326	1824	
26646	7590	10/07/2005		EXAMINER		
KENYON		ON	NASRI, JAVAID H			
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW TOIL	<b>L</b> , 111 1			2839		
				DATE MAILED: 10/07/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	F					

		////
Application No.	Applicant(s)	JV.
10/733,428	SIMMEL, ANDREAS	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Javaid Nasri	2839	

	Javaid Nasii	2039	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.      The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
<ul> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I</li> </ul>	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	within the time period set forth in 3	37 CFR 41.37(a).	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>	· -		ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);	•	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		empliant Amendment (	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		tionals. Elad amandus.	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	•	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5 and 7</u> .		•	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•		
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and			
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	a Notice of Annual but prior to the	data of filing a brief	will not bo
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See response to arguments attached.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13. Other:		Il. L	
	•	Javaid Nasri	
		Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 2839

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 9/29/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding applicant's comments:

- a) Kouda et al does not disclose, "The detection device is arranged on a chip element that is fixedly connected to the plug". It should be noted that the detection device

  (S) is arranged on a chip element (m) that is fixedly connected to the plug when the slider (S) is inside the plug (M), see note below. Term "fixedly" is not read as momentarily fixed. Hence inherency does not apply.
- b) What element of Kouda et al satisfy the claimed "receiver unit" feature. Please refer to (col. 5, line 37+), -- and an output means for **recording** and/or **displaying** the result -- clearly reads on a receiver unit feature of the claim.

Note: USPTO interprets claims, giving claims their "broadest reasonable interpretation." (see, e.g., In re Morris, 127 F.3d 1048, 1054-55 (Fed. Cir. 1997)).

## Contact

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Javaid Nasri whose telephone number is 571 272 2095. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tulsidas C. Patel can be reached on 571 272 2800 ext 39. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/733,428

Art Unit: 2839

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Javaid Nasri

Primary Examiner

Page 3

Art Unit 2839

JN. Jhn

October 6, 2005